

Goal: Heine-Borel Theorem.

Given: $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, X is compact iff X is closed and bounded.

Hannah Rose

2.19.14

Recall: Nested closed intervals have a non-empty intersection (same for k -cells).

k -cell: $[a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_k, b_k]$

Theorem: Every closed interval $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact (and so are k -cells in \mathbb{R}^k).

Proof:



Suppose $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is not compact. Then \exists an open cover $\{G_x\}$ of $[a, b]$ with no finite subcover. Let $c = (a+b)/2$. Then at least one of $[a, c]$ and $[c, b]$ has no finite subcover. WLOG, suppose it is $[a, c]$. Let $c_1 = (a+c)/2$.

Let $I_1 = [a, c_1]$. Then at least one of $[a, c_1]$ or $[c_1, c]$ has no finite subcover. Call it I_2 . Repeat this process to obtain $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \dots$. Nested closed intervals have a nonempty intersection, so $\exists x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$.

Since $\{G_x\}$ is an open cover of $[a, b]$, \exists some x_0 such that $x \in G_{x_0}$.

Thus, $\exists r > 0$ such that $N_r(x) \subseteq G_{x_0}$. For n large enough, $I_n \subseteq N_r(x) \subseteq G_{x_0}$.

But I_n has no finite subcover, so a single G_{x_0} can't cover it.

Thus, $[a, b]$ is compact. ■

Heine-Borel Theorem: In \mathbb{R} , or \mathbb{R}^n , K is compact iff K is closed and bounded.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact. We have already proved that compact sets are closed.

So we must show that K is bounded.



Let $p \in K$. Then $K \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} N_n(p)$. Indeed, $q \in K$. Then $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(p, q) < n \implies q \in N_n(p)$.

Since K is compact, \exists a finite subcover $\{N_{n_1}(p), \dots, N_{n_k}(p)\}$. Choose $r = \min\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$. Then $K \subseteq N_r(p)$. So K is bounded.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose K is closed and bounded. That K is bounded means that $\exists r > 0$ such that $K \subseteq [-r, r]^n$ (or k -cell in \mathbb{R}^k). Then K is a closed subset of a compact set $([-r, r]^n)$. Hence K is compact. ■

Ex. Let A be an infinite set.

$$d(p, q) = \begin{cases} 0 & p = q \\ 1 & p \neq q \end{cases}$$

$A \subseteq A$ is closed. A is also bounded.

$A \subseteq N_1(p)$ for any $p \in A$.

But A is not compact.

Consider $\{N_{r_p}(p) \mid p \in A\}$. This has no finite subcovers, so A is not compact.

Remark: Under this metric, all subsets of A are open. (Each point is contained in its own neighborhood.)
(All subsets are also closed under this metric.)

Goal: Bolzano - Weierstrass Theorem

Every infinite bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^k has a limit point in \mathbb{R}^k .

Theorem: A metric space X is compact iff every infinite subset $E \subseteq X$ has a limit point in X .

Proof: (\Rightarrow) We will prove the contrapositive. Suppose E has no limit points in X . Then each $q \in X$ has a neighborhood V_q which contains no other points of E . Then $\{V_q\}_{q \in X}$ is an open cover of X . There is no finite subcover because $|E| = \infty$. So X is not compact.

Hence, if X is compact, every infinite $E \subseteq X$ has a limit point in X .

(\Leftarrow) \mathbb{R}^k . Assume every infinite subset $E \subseteq X$ has a limit point in X ($X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$). We will show that X is closed and bounded, so X is compact by Heine-Borel.

Suppose X is not closed. Then $\exists z \in X^c, z \notin X$. Choose $E_n = \{x_n \mid |x_n - z| < \frac{1}{n}\} = N_{r_n}(z)$.

...