### Numerical solutions of PDEs on Manifolds

Parousia Rockstroh

Harvey Mudd College

23 April 2008



### PDEs in Math 164

• In Math 164 we've worked with implicit/explicit methods for solving PDEs in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### PDEs in Math 164

- In Math 164 we've worked with implicit/explicit methods for solving PDEs in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- We've also considered PDEs on other domains which are subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (e.g., the wave equation on a circle).

### PDEs in Math 164

- In Math 164 we've worked with implicit/explicit methods for solving PDEs in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- We've also considered PDEs on other domains which are subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (e.g., the wave equation on a circle).
- Is it possible to solve PDEs on more complex surfaces?

 Problems often arise in which PDEs must be solved on complex surfaces.

- Problems often arise in which PDEs must be solved on complex surfaces.
  - Biological systems (solution of predator-prey on surfaces)

- Problems often arise in which PDEs must be solved on complex surfaces.
  - Biological systems (solution of predator-prey on surfaces)
  - Image Processing (generation of textures)

- Problems often arise in which PDEs must be solved on complex surfaces.
  - Biological systems (solution of predator-prey on surfaces)
  - Image Processing (generation of textures)
  - Medical imaging (brain scans)

- Problems often arise in which PDEs must be solved on complex surfaces.
  - Biological systems (solution of predator-prey on surfaces)
  - Image Processing (generation of textures)
  - Medical imaging (brain scans)
  - Fluid dynamics (flows and solidification on surfaces)

- Problems often arise in which PDEs must be solved on complex surfaces.
  - Biological systems (solution of predator-prey on surfaces)
  - Image Processing (generation of textures)
  - Medical imaging (brain scans)
  - Fluid dynamics (flows and solidification on surfaces)
  - David Coat's problem (terrain decay)

- Problems often arise in which PDEs must be solved on complex surfaces.
  - Biological systems (solution of predator-prey on surfaces)
  - Image Processing (generation of textures)
  - Medical imaging (brain scans)
  - Fluid dynamics (flows and solidification on surfaces)
  - David Coat's problem (terrain decay)
- It is an interdisciplinary problem that involves a great deal of computer science, graphics, and math.

#### The method of triangulation

Approximate the given surface by triangulating.

- Approximate the given surface by triangulating.
- Solve the given PDE on each triangle

- Approximate the given surface by triangulating.
- Solve the given PDE on each triangle
- Piece the solutions together

- Approximate the given surface by triangulating.
- Solve the given PDE on each triangle
- Piece the solutions together
- If this is not good enough, then use more triangles!

#### The method of triangulation

- Approximate the given surface by triangulating.
- Solve the given PDE on each triangle
- Piece the solutions together
- If this is not good enough, then use more triangles!

#### Issues with triangulation

#### The method of triangulation

- Approximate the given surface by triangulating.
- Solve the given PDE on each triangle
- Piece the solutions together
- If this is not good enough, then use more triangles!

#### Issues with triangulation

ullet We have to use a lot of triangles! ( $\sim 10^6$ )

#### The method of triangulation

- Approximate the given surface by triangulating.
- Solve the given PDE on each triangle
- Piece the solutions together
- If this is not good enough, then use more triangles!

#### Issues with triangulation

- ullet We have to use a lot of triangles!  $(\sim 10^6)$
- It's hard to splice solutions together.

#### The method of triangulation

- Approximate the given surface by triangulating.
- Solve the given PDE on each triangle
- Piece the solutions together
- If this is not good enough, then use more triangles!

#### Issues with triangulation

- We have to use a lot of triangles! ( $\sim 10^6$ )
- It's hard to splice solutions together.
- Convergence of numerical schemes on triangulated grids are not understood as well as with Cartesian grids.



Solving PDEs via parameterization

### Solving PDEs via parameterization

Parameterize the given surface

### Solving PDEs via parameterization

- Parameterize the given surface
- Conformally map it to a cartesian grid

### Solving PDEs via parameterization

- Parameterize the given surface
- Conformally map it to a cartesian grid
- Solve the problem in Cartesian coordinates!

### Solving PDEs via parameterization

- Parameterize the given surface
- Conformally map it to a cartesian grid
- Solve the problem in Cartesian coordinates!

Issues with this approach

### Solving PDEs via parameterization

- Parameterize the given surface
- Conformally map it to a cartesian grid
- Solve the problem in Cartesian coordinates!

#### Issues with this approach

• Some surfaces are hard to parametrize!

#### Solving PDEs via parameterization

- Parameterize the given surface
- Conformally map it to a cartesian grid
- Solve the problem in Cartesian coordinates!

#### Issues with this approach

- Some surfaces are hard to parametrize!
- Finding a good conformal map can be quite challenging!

#### Solving PDEs via parameterization

- Parameterize the given surface
- Conformally map it to a cartesian grid
- Solve the problem in Cartesian coordinates!

#### Issues with this approach

- Some surfaces are hard to parametrize!
- Finding a good conformal map can be quite challenging!

Is there another approach to transforming our problem to cartesian coordinates?



• The Closest Point Method gives us an easy and efficient way of projecting the problem into Cartesian coordinates, thereby allowing us to solve it with classical numerical schemes.

- The Closest Point Method gives us an easy and efficient way of projecting the problem into Cartesian coordinates, thereby allowing us to solve it with classical numerical schemes.
- We don't need triangulations!

- The Closest Point Method gives us an easy and efficient way of projecting the problem into Cartesian coordinates, thereby allowing us to solve it with classical numerical schemes.
- We don't need triangulations!
- We don't need conformal mappings!

- The Closest Point Method gives us an easy and efficient way of projecting the problem into Cartesian coordinates, thereby allowing us to solve it with classical numerical schemes.
- We don't need triangulations!
- We don't need conformal mappings!
- We will assume that we are working with a manifold of codimension-one that is embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- The Closest Point Method gives us an easy and efficient way of projecting the problem into Cartesian coordinates, thereby allowing us to solve it with classical numerical schemes.
- We don't need triangulations!
- We don't need conformal mappings!
- We will assume that we are working with a manifold of codimension-one that is embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- The Closest Point Method utilizes the geometry of the surface.

### Definition (Signed Distance Function)

Let S be a closed embedded codimension-one manifold in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A function  $\phi: R^n \to R$  is a signed distance function if  $\phi < 0$  inside S, and  $\phi > 0$  outside S.

### Definition (Signed Distance Function)

Let S be a closed embedded codimension-one manifold in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A function  $\phi: R^n \to R$  is a signed distance function if  $\phi < 0$  inside S, and  $\phi > 0$  outside S.

#### Example

• The signed distance function for the circle is given by:

$$\phi(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - 1.$$

#### Definition (Signed Distance Function)

Let S be a closed embedded codimension-one manifold in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A function  $\phi: R^n \to R$  is a signed distance function if  $\phi < 0$  inside S, and  $\phi > 0$  outside S.

#### Example

• The signed distance function for the circle is given by:

$$\phi(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - 1.$$

• The signed distance function for the torus is given by:

$$\phi(x, y, z) = \sqrt{z^2 + \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - R} - r$$

• We need to defin a domain on which to do our computations.

- We need to defin a domain on which to do our computations.
- Let c be a constant such that:

$$|\phi(x)| \le c < \frac{1}{\kappa_{\mathsf{max}}}$$

- We need to defin a domain on which to do our computations.
- Let c be a constant such that:

$$|\phi(x)| \le c < \frac{1}{\kappa_{\mathsf{max}}}$$

 We will define our computational domain as the following band:

$$\Omega_c = \{x : |\phi(x)| \le c\}.$$

- We need to defin a domain on which to do our computations.
- Let c be a constant such that:

$$|\phi(x)| \le c < \frac{1}{\kappa_{\mathsf{max}}}$$

 We will define our computational domain as the following band:

$$\Omega_c = \{x : |\phi(x)| \le c\}.$$

• The set  $\Omega_c = \{x : |\phi(x)| = 0\}$  is called the zero level set of  $\phi(x)$ , and defines the manifold.



The Projection Matrix

### The Projection Matrix

• We need some way of getting from the set  $\Omega_c$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### The Projection Matrix

- We need some way of getting from the set  $\Omega_c$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- We do this by projecting  $\Omega_c$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### The Projection Matrix

- We need some way of getting from the set  $\Omega_c$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- We do this by projecting  $\Omega_c$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- We use the following matrix for this projection:

$$P = I - \nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi.$$

### The Projection Matrix

- We need some way of getting from the set  $\Omega_c$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- We do this by projecting  $\Omega_c$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- We use the following matrix for this projection:

$$P = I - \nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi.$$

where " $\otimes$ " denotes the Kronecker product.

### The Projection Matrix

- We need some way of getting from the set  $\Omega_c$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- We do this by projecting  $\Omega_c$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- We use the following matrix for this projection:

$$P = I - \nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi.$$

where " $\otimes$ " denotes the Kronecker product.

• This matrix projects the system into  $\mathbb{R}^n$ !

### Extension of initial data

 Now we need a way of extending the intial data off of the surface.

#### The Closest Point Method

 Compute the signed distance function (some notion of distance on the surface).

- Compute the signed distance function (some notion of distance on the surface).
- **2** Choose the computational domain of the form  $\Omega_c = x : |\phi(x)| \le c$ .

- Compute the signed distance function (some notion of distance on the surface).
- **2** Choose the computational domain of the form  $\Omega_c = x : |\phi(x)| \le c$ .
- 3 Derive the Eulerian representation of the surface PDE.

- Compute the signed distance function (some notion of distance on the surface).
- **2** Choose the computational domain of the form  $\Omega_c = x : |\phi(x)| \le c$ .
- 3 Derive the Eulerian representation of the surface PDE.
- **4** Extend the data off the surface by requiring  $\nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla \phi = 0$  for  $x \in \Omega_c$

- Compute the signed distance function (some notion of distance on the surface).
- **2** Choose the computational domain of the form  $\Omega_c = x : |\phi(x)| \le c$  .
- 3 Derive the Eulerian representation of the surface PDE.
- **4** Extend the data off the surface by requiring  $\nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla \phi = 0$  for  $x \in \Omega_c$
- Ompute the Eulerian representation of the surface PDE using standard finite differences on a Cartesian mesh in the computational domain.

• We don't need a conformal map into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or a triangulation of the surface.

- We don't need a conformal map into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or a triangulation of the surface.
- We don't need artificial boundry conditions, nor do we introduce artificial singularities.

- We don't need a conformal map into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or a triangulation of the surface.
- We don't need artificial boundry conditions, nor do we introduce artificial singularities.
- This approach allows us to discretize differential operators in Euclidean space.

- We don't need a conformal map into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or a triangulation of the surface.
- We don't need artificial boundry conditions, nor do we introduce artificial singularities.
- This approach allows us to discretize differential operators in Euclidean space.
- We can use our typical numerical schemes from Math 164!

• In the future we hope to be able to:

- In the future we hope to be able to:
  - Model evolving surfaces (e.g., motion by mean curvature)

- In the future we hope to be able to:
  - Model evolving surfaces (e.g., motion by mean curvature)
  - Solve a PDE on the evolving surface (e.g., heat/reaction-diffusion equations)