

# MATH 171: WORKSHEET 11

DAGAN KARP

ABSTRACT. An introduction to category theory following Pierre Grillet.

## 1. FUNCTORS

Can we “map” between categories? Most definitely.

**Definition 1.** A covariant functor  $F$  from the category  $\mathcal{A}$  to the category  $\mathcal{B}$  assigns to each object  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  an object  $F(A)$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , and assigns to each morphism  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  a morphism  $F(\alpha)$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  so that domains, codomains, compositions, and identities are preserved; in more detail:

- (a) if  $\alpha : A \rightarrow A'$ , then  $F(\alpha) : F(A) \rightarrow F(A')$ ,
- (b)  $F(\alpha\beta) = F(\alpha)F(\beta)$ , and
- (c)  $F(1_A) = 1_{F(A)}$ .

**Example 2.** The forgetful functor  $F : \mathcal{Rings} \rightarrow \mathcal{Gps}$  forgets the ring structure, i.e.

$$F((\mathbb{R}, +, \times)) = (\mathbb{R}, +).$$

**Remark 3.** Note that there are forgetful functors between rings and sets, groups and sets, vector spaces and groups, etc.

**Definition 4.** Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $k$ . The Hom functor, denoted

$$\text{Hom}(V, -) : \text{Vects}_k \rightarrow \text{AbGps},$$

assigns to each vector space  $W$  the group  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  of all linear transformations from  $V$  to  $W$ , and assigns to the linear transformation  $T : W \rightarrow U$  the group homomorphism

$$T_* = \text{Hom}(V, T) : \text{Hom}(V, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, U)$$

defined, for a linear transformation  $S : V \rightarrow W$  by

$$T_*(S) = T \circ S.$$

The map  $T_*$  is called the push forward of  $T$ .

Let's check that the Hom functor is indeed a functor. First, we need to show that, for any  $k$ -vector space  $W$ , the set  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  is indeed an abelian group. Let  $S_1, S_2 : V \rightarrow W$  be linear transformation. Then define  $S_1 + S_2$  by

$$(S_1 + S_2)(v) = S_1(v) + S_2(v) \text{ for all } v \in V.$$

Then  $S_1 + S_2$  is indeed a linear transformation, as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S_1 + S_2)(x + y) &= S_1(x + y) + S_2(x + y) \\
 &= S_1(x) + S_1(y) + S_2(x) + S_2(y) \\
 &= S_1(x) + S_2(x) + S_1(y) + S_2(y) \\
 &= S_1 + S_2(x + y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for scalar multiplication. Thus  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  is an abelian group.

Now, we must check that, for any linear transformation  $T : W \rightarrow U$ ,  $T_* = \text{Hom}(V, T) : \text{Hom}(V, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, U)$  is a homomorphism of abelian groups. So, let  $S_1, S_2 : V \rightarrow W$  be linear transformations. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_*(S_1 + S_2)(v) &= T \circ (S_1 + S_2)(v) \\
 &= T((S_1(v) + S_2(v))) \\
 &= T(S_1(v)) + T(S_2(v)) \text{ as } T \text{ is a linear transformation} \\
 &= T_*(S_1)(v) + T_*(S_2)(v).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$T_*(S_1 + S_2) = T_*S_1 + T_*S_2.$$

Therefore  $T_*$  is a group homomorphism which respects domains and codomains (Part (a) of our definition).

Also, if  $T : W \rightarrow U$  and  $L : U \rightarrow Z$  are linear transformations, then, for  $S : V \rightarrow W$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hom}(V, L \circ T)(S) &= (L \circ T)_*(S) \\
 &= (L \circ T) \circ S \\
 &= L \circ T \circ S \\
 &= L_*T_*(S) \\
 &= \text{Hom}(V, L) \circ \text{Hom}(V, T)(S).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{Hom}(V, L \circ T) = \text{Hom}(V, L) \circ \text{Hom}(V, T)$ . Thus (b) is satisfied (the Hom functor is indeed functorial).

Lastly, for any  $S \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hom}(V, 1_W)(S) &= (1_W)_*(S) \\
 &= 1_V \circ S \\
 &= S \\
 &= 1_{\text{Hom}(V, W)}(S).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{Hom}(V, 1_W) = 1_{\text{Hom}(V, W)}$ , and (c) holds. Therefore  $\text{Hom}(V, -)$  is indeed a functor.

## 2. NATURAL TRANSFORMATIONS

Can we map between functors? Of course!

**Definition 5.** Let  $F$  and  $G$  be functors from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ . A natural transformation  $\tau : F \rightarrow G$  assigns to each object  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  a morphism  $\tau_A : F(A) \rightarrow G(A)$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that, for each morphism  $\alpha : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A_1) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{A_1}} & G(A_1) \\ F(\alpha) \downarrow & & \downarrow G(\alpha) \\ F(A_2) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{A_2}} & G(A_2) \end{array}$$